

THE DIGITAL ECONOMY AS THE MAIN FACTOR IN THE SUSTAINABILITY OF THE REGIONAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

Maksim Vlasov

Abstract

The purpose of the study is to assess the impact of digital economy factors on the dynamics of the involved investments into fixed capital in regions of the Russian Federation using the identification of interrelation between dynamics of the amount of investment into fixed capital and digital resources of regional economy. In particular, regions developing digital economy factors are characterized with higher dynamics and amount of investment attractions into fixed capital. Using the correlation analysis, the factors have been differentiated according to their impact on investment activity processes. The scientific novelty of the study is to identify the factors of digital economy that intensify investment into fixed capital at the level of regional social and economic systems. Theoretical significance of the study includes the substantiation of digital economy factors that lead to the growth of investment activity into fixed capital of regional economy. Practical importance of the research is associated with the opportunity for state and regional authorities to use the obtained results for determination of strategic priorities for the development of digital infrastructure including the intensification of investment activity in the regions of Russia.

Keywords: investments in fixed assets, digital economy, regional development, correlation analysis, economic development.

JEL Code: O31, R11

Introduction

At the present stage of development of the Russian economy, the main task is to stimulate the transition from exports and raw material strategies to reindustrialization and modernization strategies. To solve this problem, a qualitative change in the type of production processes is necessary, which should be based on high-tech methods of creating goods and services, on an updated material and technical facilities. Obviously, this will be possible in the conditions of a sharp increase in the volume of attracting investments in fixed assets.

An analysis of the directions of attracting investments at the regional level is becoming an urgent topic of various scientific studies. Santos (2019) empirically substantiates the crucial

role of investments in fixed assets in the achievement of technological progress. The importance of stimulating investment aimed at technological development is also mentioned emphasize that in order to intensify investment activity at the regional level, special conditions must be created. This idea, indicating that an analysis of the regional investment climate is an urgent task in planning, organizing and improving regional investment programs. Its improvement is the basis for increasing investments in fixed assets in the region's economy. Development of digital technologies in enterprises leads to increased competitiveness. An analysis of the global experience leads to the conclusion that the main task of the development of modern investment activity is the formation of a digital economy and the reduction of the digital inequity between regions (Tarchokova, 2019).

These circumstances determined the goal of this study, which is to assess the influence of the factors in the development of a digital economy on the dynamics of attracting investments in fixed assets at the regional level.

1 Literature Review

The issues of attracting and analyzing investments in fixed assets were reflected in the works of many scholars. The authors present the most well-known research results in this area.

The processes of globalization of the economy assign the investment climate the role of a decisive factor in the spatial development of territories (Shuyan, & Fabuš, 2019).

The high importance of investments in fixed assets for economic development at various levels of management is emphasized by Ohotina (Ohotina, et al., 2018). In her opinion, increasing investments in fixed assets allows one to solve a wide range of strategic tasks. Moreover, the level of investments, on the one hand, is determined by the level of the economic development of regions (Rubaeva et al., 2018). On the other hand, Kamar et al. (2019) established a positive relationship between the investments in fixed assets and regional economic development. This is confirmed by Bilir et al. (2019). Using the empirical data over the past few years, they showed that the financial development of regions is directly related to the volume of attracted investments from enterprises. A description of the development of the investment climate at the regional level is disclosed in the methodology of Kucharcíková et al. (2015) based on a set of indicators.

Despite a significant number of studies that highlight a set of factors for the growth of investments in fixed assets, the situation with the general deficit of capital in industry is exacerbating. Therefore, special attention should be paid to the studies that discuss the

possibilities of enhancement of the regional investment strategy and improving the investment climate (Orekhova, & Kuzmin, 2017).

According to Richter et al. (2019), an acute digitalization of the environment is observed in the world, which leads to a change in economic relations and the need to introduce digital solutions in all areas of the socio-economic system. This becomes relevant due to the transformation of the management methodology.

In the near future, digitalization trends will become the institutional basis for the development of investments and industrial relations. Khoroshavina (2017) puts that the digital economy itself is a factor that changes the socio-economic existence of all spheres of society.

Nambisan et al. (2019) describe in detail how the advent of new digital technologies, digital platforms, and digital infrastructures has significantly transformed innovation and entrepreneurship. In addition to simply opening up new opportunities for innovators and entrepreneurs, digital technologies have wider implications for value creating. Consequently, digital technologists could serve as a common conceptual platform that allows solving the problems of investment activity at different levels of management.

Šimelyte, & Antanavičiene (2013) showed that investments in fixed assets ensure the development of an innovative economy, characterized by the introduction of the latest scientific achievements. Thus, it is investments in fixed assets that become the main driver of socio-economic development. The mechanisms of the influence of digital technologies on investments at the micro and macro levels of the economy are considered in Cascio (2017).

The literature review showed that despite numerous studies, estimates of the impact of digital technologies on investment processes in the regional economy have not been considered. Given the above, in this study the authors attempted to quantify this relationship.

2 Methodology

This study used the statistics from Rosstat on the development of digital technologies and the volume of investments in fixed assets in Russia. An aggregate data analysis was carried out in all federal districts of Russia (administrative macro-regions). It is assumed that the values of indicators and the identified dependencies in federal districts can be extrapolated to Russia's constituent entities.

The main hypothesis of this study is that the volume of investments in fixed assets depends on the development of a digital economy. This study also tested other related hypotheses: various factors in a digital economy have different relationships with fixed capital

investments; a 1% increment in various types of digital resources leads to different values in the increment of investments in fixed assets.

In order to identify correlation dependencies, the authors have identified the following factors of a digital economy:

- the specific number of personal computers in organizations (per 100 employees);
- the number of enterprises and organizations using servers;
- the level of using electronic document exchange;
- the level of using local area networks;
- the level of using wide area networks.

The value of the correlation coefficient reflects the strength of the relationship between the data series.

3 Results of the research

An analysis of the statistical data allowed concluding that in Russia the leading regions in terms of the specific volume of investments in fixed assets are the Central and Ural Federal Districts, the North Caucasus Federal District is an obvious outsider. Moreover, if one considers this indicator by absolute values, in 2017 in the North Caucasus Federal District the volume of investments in fixed assets amounted to 503,852 million rubles, which is 8.3 times less than in the Central Federal District (4,172,962 million rubles) and 5.7 times less than in the Ural Federal District (2,870,072 million rubles). It should be noted that in the leading regions the volume of investments significantly exceeds the average value of this indicator for Russia as a whole (for example, in the Central Federal District by 2.45 times, in the Ural Federal District by 1.68 times); in the North Caucasus Federal District being 3.38 times less than the average value for Russia. This fact indicates a large level of regional differentiation in terms of investment attraction. To explain this feature, the authors put forward the main hypothesis of this study.

To verify the hypotheses put forward, the authors constructed the matrices of pair correlation coefficients between the dynamics of investments in fixed assets and the value of the indicated factors of a digital economy (Table 1).

Tab. 1: Coefficients of pair correlation of the factors of a digital economy and volumes of investments in fixed assets among Russian regions for 2015-2017

Russia's region (federal district)	Factors of a digital economy				
	The number of personal computers per 100	Organizations using servers, %	Relative level of using electronic document	Relative level of using local area networks	Relative level of using wide area networks

	employees		exchange in organizations		
Central FD	0.920	0.760	0.810	0.300	0.710
North-Western FD	0.920	0.850	0.750	0.650	0.720
Southern FD	-0.470	0.550	-0.180	0.360	0.260
North Caucasian FD	0.040	-0.290	-0.210	-0.190	-0.160
Volga FD	0.750	0.800	0.760	0.120	0.770
Urals FD	0.750	0.800	0.750	0.130	0.550
Siberian FD	-0.090	0.795	0.170	0.300	0.430
Far Eastern FD	-0.440	-0.240	0.310	0.120	0.110

Source: own elaboration

The results of the correlation analysis showed that: firstly, the main hypothesis was fully confirmed (the development of digital technologies in a region's economy determines the dynamics of attracting investments in fixed assets); secondly, there is a relationship between the dynamics of the use of digital resources and the dynamics of investments in fixed assets; thirdly, the impact of factors is heterogeneous and not unidirectional.

It should be noted that in the leading regions in terms of the volume of attracted investments, stronger correlation dependencies are observed. In turn, in outsider regions, correlation is either absent or insignificant. The factors of a digital economy that have the maximum impact on the volume of attracted investments in fixed assets in a region are the specific number of personal computers in the organization and the use of electronic document exchange (indirect evidence is available in the paper (Borsuk et al., 2018). Of minimal significance is the factor of using local area networks.

Based on this, it can be concluded that in the current economic conditions it is the development of factors of a digital economy that determines the increase in investment efficiency and the growth of attracted investments in fixed assets in a region.

Table 2 provides estimates of the level of change in investments in fixed assets with an increase in using the analyzed factors of a digital economy in a region by 1%.

Sensitivity of investments in fixed assets to changes in the factors of a digital economy is calculated as a change in the volume of investments in fixed assets (in monetary terms), arising when the financing of factors changes by 1% (in monetary terms).

Tab. 2: The sensitivity of investments in fixed assets to changes in the factors of a digital economy, %

Russia's region (federal district)	Factors of a digital economy				
	The number of personal computers per 100 employees	Organizations using servers, %	Relative level of using electronic document exchange in organizations	Relative level of using local area networks	Relative level of using wide area networks

Central FD	0.170	0.160	1.300	0.010	6.200
North-Western FD	0.120	0.330	1.770	0.980	6.400
Southern FD	0.000	0.210	0.000	0.120	0.010
North Caucasian FD	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Volga FD	0.125	0.160	0.120	0.120	0.730
Urals FD	0.240	0.310	1.360	0.020	0.420
Siberian FD	0.000	0.230	0.050	0.040	0.060
Far Eastern FD	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.027	0.031

Source: own elaboration

The obtained estimates of sensitivity indicate that the largest increase in investments in fixed assets is caused by such a factor as “wide-area computer networks”. It is under the influence of this factor that there is a noticeable increase in investments in fixed assets with rates several times higher than the change in this factor. This is due to the fact that the use of wide-area computer networks, in the first place, allows one to initiate and develop projects that are most effective for investors (Balashova, & Alekseev, 2018). In addition, the introduction of wide-area networks means the openness of the information space.

The next factor in a digital economy in terms of growth in investments in fixed assets is the use of electronic document exchange. In this case, the leading dynamics of changes are also observed. The use of electronic document exchange in organizations indicates an innovative way of their development and confirms the digitalization of the relationship between economic agents. Electronic document exchange makes investment processes in the region as transparent as possible, which reduces transaction costs.

The impact of other factors of a digital economy in terms of sensitivity does not show a noticeable increase in investments in fixed assets.

Conclusions

The study allowed confirming the fact that in modern conditions it is the development of digital technologies in the region that determines the dynamics of attracting investments. Based on the correlation analysis, it was found that such types of digital resources as the specific number of personal computers in the organization and the level of using electronic document exchange have a stable relationship with the dynamics of attracting investments in fixed assets in the region. Moreover, the factor of using local area networks is not interconnected and does not affect the processes of investment activity in the region. The largest increment in investments in fixed assets is provided by such factors as the use of wide-area networks and electronic document exchange. Strengthening positions on these factors is the most promising direction for improving

the regional investment strategy. The expected result of that should be an increase in the investment attractiveness of the region as a whole.

Acknowledgment

The reported study is prepared according to the state task for Institute of economics, the Ural branch of Russian Academy of Sciences for 2020.

Reference

- Bilir, K., Chor, D., & Manova, K. (2014). Host-Country Financial Development and Multinational Activity. doi: 10.3386/w20046
- Borsuk, N.A., Fedorova, V.A., & Minina, A.D. (2018). Efficiency of Documentation Flow at Scientific and Engineering Enterprises. *Radio Industry*, 28(3), 99-106. <https://doi.org/10.21778/2413-9599-2018-28-3-99-106>
- Cascio, W.F. (2017). Training Trends: Macro, Micro, and Policy Issues. *Human Resource Management Review*, 29(2), 284-297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.11.001>
- Kamar, B., Bakardzhieva, D., & Goaid, M. (2019). Effects of Pro-Growth Policies on Employment: Evidence of Regional Disparities. *Applied Economics*, 51(40), 4337-4367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2019.1591596>
- Khoroshavina, N.S. (2017). Venture Financing – the Basis of a Digital Economy. *Issues of the Regional Economy*, 4(33), 84-94. <https://doi.org/10.21499/2078-4023-2017-33-4-86-94>
- Kucharcíková, A., Tokarcíková, E., Klucka, J., & Konešková, J. (2015). Foreign Direct Investment: Impact on Sustainable Development in Regions of Slovak Republic. *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, 5(1), 59-71. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2015.5.1\(5\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2015.5.1(5))
- Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The Digital Transformation of Innovation and Entrepreneurship: Progress, Challenges and Key Themes. *Research Policy*, 48(8). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2019.03.018>
- Ohotina, A., Lavrinenko, O., Gladevich, J., & Lazdans, D. (2018). The Investment Climate in Latvia's, Lithuania's and Belarus's Cross-Border Regions: The Subjective-Objective Assessment. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 6(2), 767-780. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.6.2\(20\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.6.2(20))
- Orekhova, S.V., & Kuzmin, E.A. (2017). Resource Investment Model in Specifics of Developing Countries. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 38, 488-494. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ttiess-17.2017.80>

- Richter, C., Kraus, S., Durst, S., & Giselbrecht, C. (2019). Digital Entrepreneurship: Innovative Business Models for the Sharing Economy. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 26(3), 300-310. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12227>
- Rubaeva, O., Pogartseva, E., Kot, E., & Nikitina, T. (2018). Resources Provision of Rural Territories Social Sphere: A Case Study. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, 9(7), 1512-1524. [https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.9.7\(31\).15](https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.9.7(31).15)
- Santos, A. (2019). Do Selected Firms Show Higher Performance? The Case of Portugal's Innovation Subsidy. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 50, 39-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2019.04.003>
- Shuyan, L., & Fabuš, M. (2019). Study on the Spatial Distribution of China's Outward Foreign Direct Investment in EU and Its Influencing Factors. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 6(3), 1080-1096. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.6.3\(16\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.6.3(16))
- Šimelyte, A., & Antanavičiene, J.G. (2013). Foreign Direct Investment Policy as an Instrument for Sustainable Economic Growth: A Case of Ireland. *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, 2(4), 25-34. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2013.2.4\(3\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2013.2.4(3))
- Tarchokova, A.A. (2019). Features of the Formation of the Investment Attractiveness of the Indian Economy in the Process of Innovative Development. *Intellect. Innovation Investments*, 1, 58-64. <https://doi.org/10.25198/2077-7175-2019-1-58>

Contact

Maksim Vlasov

Ural Federal University named after the first President of Russia B.N. Yeltsin;

Institute of Economics, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences

620002, 19 Mira street, Ekaterinburg, Russia

Mvlassov@mail.ru